M.J. PALLEJA
Evaluation of Instruction Program Report
22S: ECON 106VL LAB 1A: INVESTMENTS LAB
No. of responses = 5
Enrollment = 26
Response Rate = 19.23%

1. Background Information:

1.1) Year in School:



1.2) UCLA GPA:



1.3) Expected Grade:



1.4) What requirements does this course fulfill?



2. Preliminary Questions:

2.1) Approximately how many times did you attend the section?



n=5

2.2) You saw the teaching assistant attending lectures.



n=5

3. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

3.1) Teaching Assistant Knowledge - The T.A. was knowledgeable about the material.



n=5
av.=7.8
md=9
dev.=1.64

3.2) Teaching Assistant Concern - The T. A. was concerned about student learning.



n=5
av.=7.8
md=9
dev.=1.64

3.3) Organization - Section presentations were well prepared and organized.



n=5
av.=8.4
md=9
dev.=0.89

3.4) Scope - The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.



n=5
av.=8.6
md=9
dev.=0.55

3.5) Interaction - Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.

n=5
av.=8
md=9
dev.=1.41

3.6) Communication Skills - The teaching assistant had good communication skills.

n=5
av.=8.6
md=9
dev.=0.55

3.7) Presentation - Your presentation or your group's presentation benefited from the help of the teaching assistant.

n=5
av.=8.2
md=9
dev.=1.1

3.8) Data Analysis - The teaching assistant helped you develop data analysis skills.

n=5
av.=8.6
md=9
dev.=0.55

3.9) Value - The overall value of the sections justified your time and effort.

n=5
av.=8.6
md=9
dev.=0.89

3.10) Overall - What is your overall rating of the teaching assistant?

n=5
av.=8.4
md=9
dev.=0.89

4. Your View of Section Characteristics:

4.1) Difficulty (relative to other courses)



n=5
av.=2
md=2
dev.=0

4.2) Workload/pace was



n=5
av.=2
md=2
dev.=0

4.3) Integration of section with course was



n=5
av.=2.6
md=3
dev.=0.55

4.4) Texts, required readings

Poor  Excellent

n=5
av.=2.4
md=2
dev.=0.55

4.5) Homework assignments

Poor  Excellent

n=5
av.=2.6
md=3
dev.=0.55

4.6) Graded materials, examinations

Poor  Excellent

n=4
av.=2.25
md=2
dev.=0.5
ab.=1

4.7) Lecture presentations

Poor  Excellent

n=5
av.=2.4
md=2
dev.=0.55

4.8) Class discussions

Poor  Excellent

n=5
av.=2.4
md=2
dev.=0.55

5. Comments:

5.1) Please identify what you perceive to be the real strengths and weaknesses of this teaching assistant and course.

- Mariano was a great TA - if I could take another class from him again, I would! The way he teaches is very engaging and he's always willing to help students out on whatever they're having difficulty with. You would review the lecture material, clarifying anything that was complex, and he was very receptive to students' questions. Lecture and discussion was not mandatory to attend, but I still attended every week because it was very helpful and enjoyable. Mariano is super friendly which makes me feel comfortable asking questions and actually makes learning really fun! He's a great TA, knew the material very well, and was very helpful to students.
- Nano was very knowledgeable about the subject, and his sections were always very helpful. At times, he was a bit fast in explaining things, but still an excellent TA.

Profile

Subunit: ECON
Name of the instructor: M.J. PALLEJA
Name of the course: (Name of the survey) 22S: ECON 106VL LAB 1A: INVESTMENTS LAB

Values used in the profile line: Mean

3. To What Extent Do You Feel That:



3.1)	Teaching Assistant Knowledge - The T.A. was knowledgeable about the material.	Very Low or Never	Very High or Always	n=5	av.=7.80
3.2)	Teaching Assistant Concern - The T.A. was concerned about student learning.	Very Low or Never	Very High or Always	n=5	av.=7.80
3.3)	Organization - Section presentations were well prepared and organized.	Very Low or Never	Very High or Always	n=5	av.=8.40
3.4)	Scope - The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.	Very Low or Never	Very High or Always	n=5	av.=8.60
3.5)	Interaction - Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.	Very Low or Never	Very High or Always	n=5	av.=8.00
3.6)	Communication Skills - The teaching assistant had good communication skills.	Very Low or Never	Very High or Always	n=5	av.=8.60
3.7)	Presentation - Your presentation or your group's presentation benefited from the help of the teaching assistant.	Very Low or Never	Very High or Always	n=5	av.=8.20
3.8)	Data Analysis - The teaching assistant helped you develop data analysis skills.	Very Low or Never	Very High or Always	n=5	av.=8.60
3.9)	Value - The overall value of the sections justified your time and effort.	Very Low or Never	Very High or Always	n=5	av.=8.60
3.10)	Overall - What is your overall rating of the teaching assistant?	Very Low or Never	Very High or Always	n=5	av.=8.40

4. Your View of Section Characteristics:



4.1)	Difficulty (relative to other courses)	Low	High	n=5	av.=2.00
4.2)	Workload/pace was	Too Slow	Too Much	n=5	av.=2.00
4.3)	Integration of section with course was	Poor	Excellent	n=5	av.=2.60
4.4)	Texts, required readings	Poor	Excellent	n=5	av.=2.40
4.5)	Homework assignments	Poor	Excellent	n=5	av.=2.60
4.6)	Graded materials, examinations	Poor	Excellent	n=4	av.=2.25
4.7)	Lecture presentations	Poor	Excellent	n=5	av.=2.40
4.8)	Class discussions	Poor	Excellent	n=5	av.=2.40