UCLA EIP UCLA EIP UCLA EValuation of Instructio 20F: ECON 102 DIS 1K: MAC No. of response Enrollment Response Rate	n Program Report CRO ECON THEORY Sees = 7 = 24	
1. Background Information:		
^{1.1)} Year in School:		
		7
Freshman	0	n=7
Sophomore	0	
Junior	4	
Senior	3	
Graduate	0	
Other	0	
^{1.2)} UCLA GPA:		
Below 2.0	0	n=7
2.0 - 2.49	0	
2.5 - 2.99	0	
3.0 - 3.49	0	
3.5+	7	
Not Established	0	
^{1.3)} Even entrol Oregan		
^{1.3)} Expected Grade:		_
A	2	n=7
В	1	
C	0	
D	0	
F	0	
Р	0	
NP	0	
?	4	
^{1.4)} What requirements does this course fulfill?		
' Major	6	n=6
Related Field		
G.E.	0	
None	0	
Noie	0	

2. Preliminary Questions:							
^{2.1)} Approximately how many times did y	you attend the as:	sianed s	ection?	•			
·	1	9				0	n=7
	2					0	
	3					0	
	4					1	
	5					1	
	6					0	
	7 🗌					1	
	8					1	
	9					1	
	10+					2	
^{2.2)} If you primarily attended a section th doing so?	at is not assigned	d to you,	what v	vas yc	our prin	mary reasor	n for
	Inconvenient time					0	n=7
	Preferred another T.A.					0	
	Coordinated with friends					0	
Wanted to atte	nd more than one session					0	
	Not Applicable					7	
^{2.3)} You saw the TA of the assigned sect	ion attending lect	ures.					
	Never					0	n=7
	Sometimes					0	
	Often					1	
	Always					0	
	I did not attend lectures					1	
	I do not know					5	
3. To What Extent Do You Feel That:							
^{3.1)} Teaching Assistant Knowledge - The T.A. was knowledgeable about the material.	Never	0 0 0 1 2 3	0 0	0 1 6 7		Very High or Always	n=7 av.=8.57 md=9 dev.=0.79
³²⁾ Teaching Assistant Concern - The T. A. was concerned about student learning.	Never	0 0 0	0 0 4 5	0 1 6 7		Very High or Always	n=7 av.=8.57 md=9 dev.=0.79

^{3.3)} Organization - Section presentations were well prepared and organized.	Very Low or Never	0 0	0	0	0 0 5 6	2		Very High or Always	n=7 av.=8.29 md=9 dev.=0.95
^{3.4)} Scope - The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.	Very Low or Never	0 0	0	0 0	0 0 5 6	2		Very High or Always	n=7 av.=8.43 md=9 dev.=0.98
^{3.5)} Interaction - Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.	Very Low or Never	0 0	0	0 0	0 0 5 6	1 7	0 5	Very High or Always	n=6 av.=8.67 md=9 dev.=0.82
^{3.6)} Communication Skills - The teaching assistant had good communication skills.	Very Low or Never	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	1 7	3 3 8 9	Very High or Always	n=7 av.=8.29 md=8 dev.=0.76
^{3.7)} Value - The overall value of the sections justified your time and effort.	Very Low or Never	0 0	0	0 (0 0	1	3 3 	Very High or Always	n=7 av.=8.29 md=8 dev.=0.76
^{3.8)} Overall - What is your overall rating of the teaching assistant?	Very Low or Never	0 0	0	0 0	0 0 5 6	1	2 4 1 9	Very High or Always	n=7 av.=8.43 md=9 dev.=0.79
4. Your View of Section Characteristics:									
^{4.1)} Difficulty (relative to other courses)	Low	0			4 2	<u> </u>	1	High	n=5 av.=2.2 md=2 dev.=0.45 ab.=2
⁴²⁾ Workload/pace was	Too Slow	0			2		0	Too Much	n=6 av.=2 md=2 dev.=0 ab.=1
^{4.3)} Integration of section with course was	Poor	0			2	·	5 3	Excellent	n=6 av.=2.83 md=3 dev.=0.41 ab.=1
^{4.4)} Texts, required readings	Poor	0			3		2 	Excellent	n=5 av.=2.4 md=2 dev.=0.55 ab.=2
^{4.5)} Homework assignments	Poor	0			3			Excellent	n=4 av.=2.25 md=2 dev.=0.5 ab.=3
^{4.6)} Graded materials, examinations	Poor	0			3		1	Excellent	n=4 av.=2.25 md=2 dev.=0.5 ab.=3
^{4.7)} Lecture presentations	Poor	0		:	2		3	Excellent	n=5 av.=2.6 md=3

^{4.8)} Class discussions	Poor	0	1 2	4	Excellent	n=5 av.=2.8 md=3 dev.=0.45 ab.=2
5. Comments:						
0. 00111101101						

- ^{5.1)} Please identify what you perceive to be the real strengths and weaknesses of this teaching assistant and course.
- I believe Mariano is a very good TA, who really cares about his students. He is clear, explains course material thoroughly, and makes additional office hours before exams to help his students. To be perfectly honest, I rarely attended live discussion because I cram for my exams. When I spent a few hours watching the discussion recordings a couple of days before the exams, I learned so much more from Mariano than I did from lecture. He explains concepts clearly and I feel that discussion sections are the most efficient use of my time in studying for the exams. Overall, Mariano did a great job this quarter and I wish him the best in the future. Keep it up!
- I believe Mariano knows what he's doing. He is knowledgable on all the topics we learned, and knows how to empirically show the reasoning for a lot of the concepts. He doesn't really have any weaknesses. Maybe he can follow the Professor's schedule and teach in discussion what we learn in class that week. Sometimes it was a little off, but that might be due to the overall lack of structure in the class.
- Mariano clarifies lecture topics well and explains ideas that were before confusing or hard to grasp. I more often watched the lectures back rather than live classes because of time zones, but always felt the sections were very helpful for my learning, which I really appreciated.
- Mariano is an incredible TA! He truly cares about his students and is very good at explaining the class concepts. To be perfectly frank, Mariano was the reason I was able to learn anything at all in this class. He is great at explaining concepts that sounded very confusing in lecture. He goes at a good pace and makes sure to stop for questions. Even through remote learning, Mariano's impact is noticeable and i am very glad he was my TA this quarter.
- Nano was really good about making his students feel like they could reach out to him with questions even beyond his TA or OH times. I emailed him about my grade and he was very personable and honest with me which I appreciated. He also offered to look over any work I was confused on, on his own time. He had a great attitude and wanted to try to get students to discuss over zoom (which is a hard thing to do).
- clarified topics and went through homework problems, answered questions readily via email and always willing to help, attended section because it was useful and he did a good job answering questions, wished he had provided more written TA notes that summarize topics

Profile

Subunit:

ECON M.J. PALLEJA

Name of the instructor: Name of the course: (Name of the survey)

20F: ECON 102 DIS 1K: MACRO ECON THEORY

Values used in the profile line: Mean

3. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

- ^{3.1)} Teaching Assistant Knowledge The T.A. was knowledgeable about the material.
- Teaching Assistant Concern The T.A. was concerned about student learning. 3.2)
- 3.3) Organization - Section presentations were well prepared and organized.
- 3.4) Scope - The teaching assistant expanded on course ideas.
- 3.5) Interaction - Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.
- Communication Skills The teaching assistant had 3.6) good communication skills.
- 3.7) Value - The overall value of the sections justified your time and effort.
- ^{3.8)} Overall What is your overall rating of the teaching assistant?

4. Your View of Section Characteristics:

4.1)	Difficulty (relative to other courses)	Low		High	n=5	av.=2.20
4.2)	Workload/pace was	Too Slow		Too Much	n=6	av.=2.00
4.3)	Integration of section with course was	Poor		Excellent	n=6	av.=2.83
4.4)	Texts, required readings	Poor		Excellent	n=5	av.=2.40
4.5)	Homework assignments	Poor	/	Excellent	n=4	av.=2.25
4.6)	Graded materials, examinations	Poor		Excellent	n=4	av.=2.25
4.7)	Lecture presentations	Poor		Excellent	n=5	av.=2.60
4.8)	Class discussions	Poor	``	Excellent	n=5	av.=2.80

Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=7	av.=8.57
Very Low or Never	<u> </u>	Very High or Always	n=7	av.=8.57
Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=7	av.=8.29
Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=7	av.=8.43
Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=6	av.=8.67
Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=7	av.=8.29
Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=7	av.=8.29
Very Low or Never		Very High or Always	n=7	av.=8.43